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## Personal Income and General Sales Tax Revenues as Shares of Total Tax Revenues



## Outline

$\square$ Sales tax erosion
$\square$ Nexus and e-commerce firms
$\square$ Effects of Marketplace Fairness Act

## STATE GENERAL SALES TAX COLLECTIONS AS A PERCENT OF GDP
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## NUMber Of Sales tax rate changes
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## STATE SALES TAX BASE AS A PERCENT OF PERSONAL INCOME, 2010



## Sales Tax Base as Percentage of Personal Income, 1979-201 2
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## CAUSES OF CHANGE IN THE SALES TAX BASE

$\square$ Legislated Exemptions
$\square$ Fairness - Food, Clothing
$\square$ Economic Development - Holidays, Location
$\square$ Changes in Consumption Patterns
$\square$ Cross Border Shopping
$\square$ Mail Order

- Driving Next Door
$\square$ E-Commerce


## ESTIMATED TOTAL E-COMMERCE SALES


*Sales-taxing states only.
William F. Fox, Center for Business and Economic Research, http:// cber.bus.utk.edu

June 23, 2013

## Employment/Sales, 2009 <br> Selected Firms



## Taxation of remote sales

$\square$ Quill v. North Dakota
$\square$ Weak use tax compliance
Consumers very responsive to the no tax option
$2010 \xrightarrow{2011} \xrightarrow{2012} \xrightarrow{2013} \xrightarrow{2015}$

## Baseline E-Commerce Growth Scenario <br> Total Business-to-Business E-commerce <br> Total Business-to-Consumer E-commerce <br> Total E-Commerce

Estimated Taxes Due
Estimated Taxes Collected

Estimated Total State and Local Revenue Loss
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$\begin{array}{lllllll}2,846,701 & 3,182,517 & 3,466,547 & 3,835,335 & 4,427,560 & 5,126,858\end{array}$
$\frac{244,000}{3,090,701} \frac{280,892}{3,463,409} \frac{314,378}{3,780,225} \frac{356,684}{4,192,520} \frac{421,287}{4,848,848} \frac{498,221}{5,625,078}$
$\begin{array}{llllll}37,823 & 42,384 & 46,270 & 51,307 & 59,339 & 68,338 \\ 28,512 & 31,891 & 34,752 & 38,470 & 44,421 & 51,455\end{array}$
$\xlongequal{9,311} \xlongequal{10,494} \xlongequal[\text { June } 17,2013]{11,518} \xlongequal{12,837} \xlongequal{14,918} \xlongequal{17,383}$

## Sales Tax Nexus among Online Retailers
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| Top 1,000 <br> Ranking <br> Group | Number of <br> Retailers in <br> the Nexus <br> Data | Average <br> Number of <br> Nexus States | Average Share of <br> National State/Local <br> Sales Taxes among <br> Nexus States |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1-99$ | 65 | 29.4 | $\mathbf{6 9 . 6 \%}$ |
| $100-199$ | 36 | 19.1 | $48.0 \%$ |
| $200-299$ | 39 | 18.9 | $52.7 \%$ |
| $300-399$ | 37 | 16.2 | $46.2 \%$ |
| $400-499$ | 41 | 6.0 | $\mathbf{1 8 . 2 \%}$ |
| $500+$ | 13 | 2.8 | $10.9 \%$ |

## Distribution of Nexus States among Online <br> Retailers

Number of States for which Number of Online Retailers Sales Taxes Are Collected
0 ..... 8
1 ..... 57
2 ..... 22
3 ..... 12
4-10 ..... 25
11-24 ..... 17
25-44 ..... 52
45 ..... 38

## Determinants of States Where Firms Have Nexus

$\square$ Large states - Home state effect
$\square$ Policy Effect:
$\square$ Higher tax rates discourage nexus in larger states
$\square$ Broader sales tax bases discourage nexus in larger states
$\square$ But, policy variables will have less effect than size of state
$\square$ Spatial effect: firms consider whether they have nexus in nearby states

## State efforts to collect on remote sales

$\square$ Working together- SSUTA
$\square$ Expand nexus definition
$\square$ Ownership affiliate nexus
$\square$ Attributional nexus
$\square$ Amazon laws
$\square$ Enhance use tax collection - line on income tax return
$\square$ Reporting requirements
$\square$ Support federal legislation

## Federal legislation

- Marketplace Fairness Act of 2013
$\square$ Passed the Senate
- $\$ 1.0$ million small seller exception
$\square$ Simplifications:
$\square$ providing firms with advance notification of sales tax rate changes
$\square$ using a single tax collection agency for both state and local sales taxes
$\square$ creating a uniform sales tax base for the entire state
$\square$ using destination sourcing
$\square$ providing free sales tax compliance software
$\square$ relieving remote sellers of any liability associated with incorrect compliance because of errors made by a certified software provider.


## Percent of Total Estimated American Online Retail among the Top Retailers



## Estimates of the Number of Retailers with Sales above Various SSE Thresholds

Sellers Above the Threshold

Sales
Threshold

| $\$ 150,000$ | 12,114 | $0.24 \%$ | 50,000 | $1 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\$ 250,000$ | 7,269 | $0.15 \%$ | $\mathbf{3 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 6 \%}$ |
| $\$ \mathbf{5 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ | 3,634 | $0.07 \%$ | 15,000 | $0.3 \%$ |
| $\$ 750,000$ | 2,423 | $0.05 \%$ | 10,000 | $0.2 \%$ |
| $\$ 1,000,000$ | 1,817 | $0.04 \%$ | 7,500 | $0.15 \%$ |

## Top 1,000 Online Retailer Primary Sales Categories by Size Category



Apparel/Accessories

|  | 16.6 | 22.2 | 28.4 | 35.4 | 16.7 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Computers/Electronics | 6.9 | 7.5 | 9.9 | 5.3 | 21.4 |
| Housewares/Home Furn. | 17.0 | 11.1 | 12.1 | 3.5 | 2.4 |
| Mass Merchant | 0.8 | 3.0 | 4.2 | 7.1 | 28.6 |
| Sporting Goods | 8.9 | 11.4 | 7.2 | 2.7 | 2.4 |

## Top 1,000 Online Retailer Characteristics by Size Category

|  | $<\$ 5 \mathrm{~m}$ | $\$ 5-20 \mathrm{~m}$ | $\$ 20-100 \mathrm{~m}$ | $\$ 100-500$ <br> m | $\geq \$ 500 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age of Retailer (years) | $\mathbf{8 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 . 4}$ |
| Average Online Sales <br> (\$million) | $\$ 2.8$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 0 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 4 6 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 2 2 1 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 2 , 3 1 3 . 4}$ |
| 2010-11 Online Sales <br> Growth | $\mathbf{3 2 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 . 5}$ |
| Retail Chain | $\mathbf{1 5 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 4 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 . 4}$ |
| Web Only | $\mathbf{6 3 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{5 9 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 7 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 . 7}$ |
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