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## District of Columbia

## Overview and Context

## What is it? A two-part study:

- Comparison of tax burdens in largest city in each state and DC;
- Comparison of select tax rates, 50 states and DC.

Why do we do it, and when?

- Congressional requirement - Public Law 93-407 (9/3/1974)
- Annually

Who is the audience?

- Congress; DC City Council; media; researchers; states; and cities

How do we produce it?

- Data collection:
- Survey to states w/ follow up calls \& emails;
- Online research of state web sites;
- Third-party sources (FTA, CCH, Tax Foundation, etc.)
- In-house calculations
- Various data sources and methodologies for each type of tax


## Sample Presentation of Results

ESTIMATED BURDEN OF MAJOR TAXES FOR A HYPOTHETICAL FAMILY OF THREE, 2013
$\$ 25,000$

| RANK | CITY | ST | TAXES |  |  |  | BURDEN |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | INCOME $2 /$ | PROPERTY 3/ | SALES 4/ | AUTO | AMOUNT | PERCENT |
| 1 | Philadelphia | PA | \$1,545 | \$1,891 | \$543 | \$231 | \$4,210 | 16.8\% |
| 2 | Honolulu | HI | 283 | 3,062 | 511 | 254 | 4,111 | 16.4\% |
| 3 | Los Angeles | CA | 0 | 2,371 | 717 | 815 | 3,904 | 15.6\% |
| 4 | Chicago | II. | 900 | 1,843 | 565 | 369 | 3,677 | 14.7\% |
| 5 | Boston | MA | 237 | 2,700 | 469 | 176 | 3,582 | 14.3\% |


| \$50,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CITY | ST | TAXES |  |  |  | BURDEN |  |
| RANK |  |  | INCOME 2/ | PROPERTY | SALES 3/ | AUTO | AMOUNT | PERCENT |
| 1 | Philadelphia | PA | \$3,428 | \$6,038 | \$718 | \$248 | \$10,433 | 20.9\% |
| 2 | Newark | NJ | 553 | 7,814 | 719 | 145 | 9,231 | 18.5\% |
| 3 | Bridgeport | CT | 517 | 7,507 | 639 | 439 | 9,103 | 18.2\% |
| 4 | Milwaukee | WI | 1,129 | 5,317 | 615 | 303 | 7,364 | 14.7\% |
| 5 | Detroit | MI | 1,980 | 3,939 | 500 | 190 | 6,609 | 13.2\% |


|  |  |  | $\$ 75,000$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| RANK | CITY | ST | INCOME 2/ | PROPERTY | SALES 3/ | AUTO | AMOUNT | PERCENT |
| 1 | Philadelphia | PA | $\$ 5,145$ | $\$ 9,057$ | $\$ 1,029$ | $\$ 531$ | $\$ 15,762$ | $21.0 \%$ |
| 2 | Bridgeport | CT | 2,662 | 11,261 | 946 | 860 | 15,728 | $21.0 \%$ |
| 3 | Newark | NJ | 1,025 | 11,721 | 1,059 | 344 | 14.149 | $18.9 \%$ |
| 4 | Milwaukee | WI | 2,552 | 7,976 | 900 | 638 | 12,066 | $16.1 \%$ |
| 5 | Detroit | MI | 4,293 | 5,908 | 718 | 244 | 11,163 | $14.9 \%$ |



District of Columbia

## New Presentation of Results



## Property Tax Calculation

Compile data on general property tax structure in each location:

- Nominal property tax rates;
- Assessment levels;
- Homestead exemptions available to all homeowners (note: not included in chart below)

RESIDENTIAL PROPERTY TAX RATES IN THE LARGEST CITY IN EACH STATE

| R.ANK | CITY | ST | $\begin{gathered} \text { NOMINAL } \\ \text { RATE } \\ \text { PER } \$ 100 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { ASSESSMENT } \\ \text { LEVEL } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EFFECTIVE } \\ \text { RATE } \\ \text { PER } \$ 100 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Detroit | MI | 6.78 | 50.0\% | 3.39 |
| 2 | Philadelphia | PA | 9.77 | 32.0\% | 3.13 |
| 3 | Milwaukee | WI | 3.13 | 100.0\% | 3.13 |
| 4 | Bridgeport | CT | 4.19 | 70.0\% | 2.93 |
| 5 | Indianapolis | IN | 2.91 | 100.0\% | 2.91 |

- Collect data from Census (ACS) on median house values and median incomes
- Calculate house values for each income level in each city, multiplier created by ACS data.
. Calculate property tax on house value at each income in each city.
- Taking into account assessment level, and homestead exemptions.


## Inputs: 2013 Property Tax Information

 (Nominal Rate x Assessment Ratio = Effective Rate)


District of Columbia

## Results: 2013 Property Tax Burdens

 ( $\$ 25,000=$ renters) [sorted by renters]



District of Columbia

Newark NJ $\$ 2.506$
Bridgepo

Results: 2013 Property Tax Burdens ( $\$ 25,000=$ renters) [sorted by $\$ 150 \mathrm{k}]$

## Income Tax Calculation

Use tax preparation software to calculate income tax burdens for all 50 states and DC.

- Itemized deduction information is from IRS Statistics of Income data for DC, and is based on average deduction amounts at each income level for joint filers.
- Separate calculation is made of the mortgage interest deduction, based on 5th year of interest of home purchase, value of which calculated from ACS data just like for property tax.
- Deductions allowed for sales taxes paid, state income taxes paid, or property taxes paid are taken from actual burdens calculated in prior year.

After calculating state income tax, add any local income tax that is applicable.
Eight States do not have an income tax. Seven of the cities in our report have a local income tax.

District of Columbia

| Philadelphia PA | \$1,545 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Indianapolis IN | \$994 |
| Chicago IL | \$900 |
| Louisville KY | \$722 |
| Birmingham AL | \| $\$ 696$ |
| Detroit MI | \$647 |
| Charleston WV | \| $\$ 634$ |
| Columbus OH | \| \$515 |
| Atlanta GA | \| \$397 |
| Charlotte NC | \$367 |
| Honolulu HI | \$283 |
| Billings MT | \$275 |
| Little Rock AR | \$268 |
| Boston MA | \$237 |
| Kansas City MO | \$217 |
| Wilmington DE | \$209 |
| Jackson MS | \$113 |
| Phoenix AZ | \$76 |
| Boise ID | \$17 |
| Milwaukee WI | \$14 |
| Fargo ND | \$5 |
| Bridgeport CT | \$0 |
| Columbia SC | \$0 |
| Denver CO | \$0 |
| Los Angeles CA | \$0 |
| New Orleans LA | \$0 |
| Portland ME | \$0 |
| Salt Lake City UT | \$0 |
| Virginia Beach VA | \$0 |
| Providence RI | (\$113) |
| Oklahoma City OK | (\$132) |
| Des Moines IA | (\$141) |
| Wichita KS | (\$211) |
| Albuquerque NM | \|(\$302) |
| Omaha NE | \|(\$302) |
| Baltimore MD | \|(\$331) |
| Portland OR | (\$361) |
| Newark NJ | \|(\$374) |
| WASHINGTON DC | (\$599) |
| New York City NY | (\$634) |
| Minneapolis MN | (\$895) |
| Burlington VT | (\$3,950) |
|  | $\begin{gathered} -5 \mathrm{~K} \text { OK } 5 \mathrm{KK} \quad 10 \mathrm{~K} \\ \$ 25,000 \end{gathered}$ |

Results: 2013 Income Tax Burdens (sorted by $\$ 25,000$ income level)


## Sales Tax Calculation

Compile applicable sales tax rates
> State, city, county, school, and transit tax rates
> 5 States do not have a general sales tax (AK, DE, MT, NH, OR)

Download Consumer Expenditure Survey data of average amount spent on various consumer goods (by income level).
> Apply all state and local sales taxes to those amounts.
> All variation w/in an income level comes from sales tax rates and sales tax bases.

## Input: 2013 Sales Tax Rates

District of Columbia


## Results: 2013 Sales Tax Burden, \$50,000

District of Columbia
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## Input: 2014 Sales Tax Rates



2014 Sales Tax Burdens, Family earning \$50,000
District of Columbia


## Auto Tax Calculation

$>$ Applicable personal property taxes, registration and title fees, and auto excise taxes for each city + state

- Assumptions of gasoline usage and applicable taxes for each jurisdiction
- Assumptions of car ownership at each level of income
- Type of car(s) vary across income level
- Number of cars owned

District of Columbia



## 2014 Results: Auto Tax Burden, \$75,000/ year

District of Columbia


## Results: 2013 Tax Burden, All Taxes Combined, \$75,000

District of Columbia


Results: Total 2013 Metro Area Tax Burdens, \$75,000
District of Columbia


## Thank you!

## Questions/Comments?

District of Columbia

## Extra Slides

Results: 2013 Tax Burden, All Taxes Combined, \$50,000
District of Columbia

WY: lowest PA: highest


Results: 2013 Tax Burden of All Taxes Combined, \$50,000
District of Columbia


Results: 2013 Tax Burden of All Taxes Combined, \$75,000
District of Columbia


## 2012, 2013 and 2014 car assumptions

District of Columbia

For 2012, they are:
2009 Nissan Sentra 2009 Volkswagen Passat Sedan 2010 Toyota Camry LE
2004 Toyota Highlander Ltd 4WD
2010 Buick LaCrosse CXL
2005 Ford Explorer Ltd
2011 Cadillac CTS Premium AWD
2008 Ford Edge SEL

For 2013, car assumptions: 2010 Nissan Sentra
2010 Volkswagen Passat Sedan 2011 Toyota Camry LE 2005 Toyota Highlander Ltd 4WD 2011 Buick LaCross CXI 2006 Ford Explorer Ltd 2012 Cadillac CTS Premium AWD 2009 Ford Edge SEL AWD

For 2014, car assumptions:
2011 Nissan Sentra
2011 Volkswagen Jetta Sedan (no 2011 Passats)
2012 Toyota Camry LE
2006 Toyota Highlander Ltd 4WD
2012 Buick LaCross CXI
2007 Ford Explorer Ltd
2013 Cadillac CTS Sedan
2010 Ford Edge SEL Wagon AWD

## New Features and Future Goals

$>$ New graphics: bar charts, maps, etc.;
$>$ Sensitivity analyses of various assumptions;
$>$ Add case studies of major metro areas or various aspects of major tax types;
$>$ Study what is driving the variability; decompose the determinants.

## Property Tax

## 2013 Median Income and Median House Value

700,000 工

600,000

- HI



## Comparison of selected state taxes, 2013

District of Columbia

| TAX | LEVYING TAX | NUMBER OF JURISDICTIONS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | LOWER <br> THAN DC | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SAME } \\ & \text { AS DC } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HIGHER } \\ & \text { THAN DC } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Individual Income | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 43 \\ & 2 / \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $36$ | 1 | $6$ |
| Corporate Income | 44 | 42 | 0 | 2 |
| Insurance | 50 | 18 | 17 | 15 |
| Sales and Use | 45 | 20 | 1 | 24 |
| Beer | 50 | 7 | 1 | 42 |
| Light Wine | 46 | 5 | 3 | 38 |
| Distilled Spirits | 33 | 0 | 1 | 32 |
| Cigarette | 50 | 44 | 0 | 6 |
| Motor Fuel | 50 | 26 | 1 | 23 |
| Motor Vehicle Excise |  |  |  |  |
| Cars $<3,500 \mathrm{lbs}$. | 46 | 25 | 7 | 14 |
| Motor Vehicle Registration | 50 | 46 | 0 | 4 |
| Deed Recordation | 35 | 35 | 0 | 0 |

## 2013 Income Assumptions

District of Columbia

| Gross <br> Income | Wages and <br> Salaries | Interest | Long-Term <br> Capital <br> Gains 1/ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\$ 25,000$ | Spouse 1 | $\$ 16,560$ | $\$ 646$ | $\$ 697$ |
| $\$ 50,000$ | Spouse 2 | 7,097 | $\$ 1,078$ | $\$ 781$ |
|  | Spouse 1 | $\$ 33,699$ | $\$ 906$ | $\$ 1,179$ |
| $\$ 75,000$ | Spouse 2 | 14,442 |  |  |
|  | Spouse 1 | $\$ 51,041$ | $\$ 760$ | $\$ 720$ |
| $\$ 100,000$ | Spouse 2 | 21,875 | $\$ 1,026$ |  |
|  | Spouse 1 | $\$ 68,964$ | $\$ 2,085$ |  |

[^0]
## 2013 Income Tax Deduction Assumptions

District of Columbia

| Deduction | Gross Income Level |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \$ 25,000 | \$ 50,000 | \$ 75,000 | \$100,000 | \$150,000 |
| Medical (Gross) | 6,754 | 6,996 | 8,437 | 9,643 | 12,650 |
| Nondeductible Medical 1/ | -1,875 | -3,750 | -5,625 | -7,500 | -11,250 |
| Net Medical Deduction | 4,879 | 3,246 | 2,812 | 2,143 | 1,400 |
| Deductible Taxes | $2 /$ | 2/ | $2 /$ | $2 /$ | 2/ |
| Mortgage Interest | 3/ | $3 /$ | $3 /$ | $3 /$ | 3/ |
| Contribution Deduction | 2,592 | 3,199 | 4,119 | 4,280 | 3,984 |
| Gross Miscellaneous | 2,699 | 4,578 | 3,642 | 3,866 | 4,503 |
| Nondeductible 4/ | -500 | -1,000 | -1,500 | -2,000 | -3,000 |
| Net Miscellaneous Deduction | 2,199 | 3,578 | 2,142 | 1,866 | 1,503 |
| Other Miscellaneous Deductions | 161 | 164 | 147 | 163 | 69 |
| Total Deductions-without taxes |  |  |  |  |  |
| And mortgage interest | 9,831 | 10,187 | 9,221 | 8,452 | 6,956 |

1/ Nondeductible medical equal 7.5 percent of federal A.G.I. All or part of medical deductions may be allowed in some states.
2/ The tax deduction varies from city to city and is based on real and personal property taxes computed in the 2013 study and individual income taxes computed in the 2012 study.
3/ Mortgage interest is based on 5th year interest paid on a home purchased in 2008 at an interest rate of $4.0 \%$.
4/ Nondeductible miscellaneous deductions equal 2 percent of A.G.I.


[^0]:    1/ Assumes a three-year holding period

